## Novel supramolecular architectures in group 13 perfluoroaryl complexes. Synthesis and structures of $[AlMe(C_6F_5)(\mu-Me)]_2$ and $GaMe(C_6F_5)_2$ <sup>†</sup>

Gregory S. Hair, Alan H. Cowley,\* John D. Gorden, Jamie N. Jones, Richard A. Jones\* and Charles L. B. Macdonald

The University of Texas at Austin, Chem. & Biochem. Dept., 1 University Station A5300, Austin, Texas 78712-0165, USA. E-mail: cowley@mail.utexas.edu; Tel: 512 471 7484. E-mail: rajones@mail.utexas.edu; Tel: 512 471 1706

Received (in Columbia, MO, USA) 5th October 2002, Accepted 12th December 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 15th January 2003

Novel supramolecular architectures are observed in the solid state structures of  $[AlMe(C_6F_5)(\mu-Me)]_2$  (1) and  $Ga(C_6F_5)_2Me$  (2) *via*  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking between  $C_6F_5$  rings and intermolecular aryl-F $\rightarrow$ Ga interactions, respectively.

Noncovalent attractive forces such as hydrogen bonding and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions are now recognized as key features in the selfassembly of multicomponent molecular architectures.<sup>1,2</sup> For the group 13 elements, large supramolecular assemblies involving dative covalent interactions between Lewis acid centers and nitrogen or oxygen donor bases or halogens are well known.<sup>3</sup>

In this report we describe the synthesis and structures of two group 13 organometallics which exhibit novel supramolecular structures, namely  $[AlMe(C_6F_5)(\mu-Me)]_2$  (1) and  $GaMe(C_6F_5)_2$  (2). In 1, intermolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking between pairs of offset  $C_6F_5$  rings creates an unusual zig-zag one-dimensional chain. In 2, a linear chain-like supramolecular assembly is formed *via* intermolecular aryl-F $\rightarrow$ Ga interactions.

Strong group 13 Lewis acids such as  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  and  $Al(C_6F_5)_3$ are currently of significant interest as components of olefin polymerization catalyst systems. The aluminium derivatives  $Al(C_6F_5)_3$  (arene) (arene = benzene, toluene) may be conveniently prepared by the reaction of  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  with  $AlMe_3$  in an arene solvent.<sup>4,5</sup> This process no doubt occurs *via* a series of mixed ligand species (eqn. 1,2).

$$2B(C_6F_5)_3 + Al_2Me_6 \rightleftharpoons 2MeB(C_6F_5)_2 + [AlMe_2(C_6F_5)]_2 \quad (1)$$
  
$$2MeB(C_6F_5)_2 + [AlMe_2(C_6F_5)]_2 \rightleftharpoons$$

$$2Me_2B(C_6F_5) + [AlMe(C_6F_5)_2]_2$$
 (2)

Recently Klosin *et al.* reported the detection of such dinuclear aluminium species in these reaction mixtures.<sup>6</sup> They were also able to isolate and characterize the unsymmetrical aluminium species ( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>3</sub> when an excess of AlMe<sub>3</sub> was used. These kinds of mixed alkyl/perfluorophenyl aluminium compounds are also of interest since it has been claimed that species such as [Et( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>2</sub>Al]<sub>x</sub> *alone* act as catalysts or catalyst precursors for the polymerization of olefins.<sup>7</sup>

The initial products from the exchange reaction between  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  and  $Al_2Me_6$  should be  $MeB(C_6F_5)_2$  and  $Al_2Me_4(C_6F_5)_2$ . In fact, the latter compound was isolated recently by Roesky *et al.* from the reaction of  $C_6F_5Li$  with Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl in hexane solution.<sup>8</sup> Thermal decomposition results in a disproportionation to give Al( $C_6F_5$ )\_3 and Al\_2Me\_6.

We have prepared compound **1** by the direct reaction of  $Al(C_6F_5)_3$  (toluene) with  $AlMe_3$  in toluene solution at room temperature.<sup>9</sup> It was recrystallized from toluene at -20 °C as clear colorless crystals in 75% yield. The related gallium complex  $GaMe(C_6F_5)_2$  **2** was prepared *via* the exchange reaction between  $B(C_6F_5)_3$  and  $GaMe_3$  in toluene solution at room temperature and was isolated in pure form by sublimation at 50 °C and 0.1 torr.<sup>9</sup>

The solution NMR data for 1 are consistent with a fluxional system on the NMR time scale.<sup>9</sup> In the solid state, 1 crystallizes

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures for the preparation of 1 and 2 and X-ray experimental details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b2/b210024j/ in the triclinic space group  $P\bar{1}$  with two independent dimeric molecules per unit cell.<sup>‡</sup> The asymmetric unit contains two Al atoms, each in a different dimer. Each dimer features an inversion center located at the midpoint of the Al–Al vector. A view of the atom numbering scheme for the two crystallographically independent molecules is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 View of two independent molecules of  $[AlMe(C_6F_5)\mu$ -Me)]<sub>2</sub> (1) showing the atom numbering scheme.

Each dimeric unit of 1 bears two  $C_6F_5$  groups and, as a consequence, a novel supramolecular architecture is achieved by offset  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between pairs of C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> groups from different molecules. This creates a one-dimensional zig-zag framework as shown in Fig. 2. There are two sets of offset  $\pi - \pi$ interactions between C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> groups. In each case the dihedral angle between the aryl rings is 7.6°. The distances between the centroids of the  $\pi$ -stacked aryl rings are 3.713 Å and 4.465 Å. The latter distance is consistent with significantly more offset interactions between the fluoroaryl rings. These  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions are clearly supramolecular in nature and not simply the result of unit cell packing. Calculations<sup>10</sup> have shown that intramolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> groups should be favorable, although there appear to be few examples of such interactions in organometallic chemistry. Thus similar close  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions are completely absent in the solid state structures of the related Al- $C_6F_5$  complexes ( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>3</sub><sup>6</sup> and Al(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.PhMe.<sup>5</sup> Mountford and coworkers have described the titanium imide Ti(NC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)Cl<sub>2</sub>(NHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in which both  $C_6F_5-C_6F_5 \pi-\pi$  stacking as well as Ti-Cl···H-N interactions are observed.<sup>11</sup> In this compound the separation between the  $C_6F_5$  planes is 3.23 Å. Examples of intramolecular  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions between two C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> rings are also known for a few ferrocene derivatives.<sup>12–14</sup> For example, in  $Fe(C_6F_5Cp)_2^{14}$  the distance between the aryl ring centroids is 3.58 Å and intramolecular C-F distances of 3.23 and 3.27 Å are observed between fluoroaryl rings. In 1 the closest C-F interactions are 3.190(3) Å (F35–C62) and 3.298(3) Å (F35–C63). A more detailed view of these interactions in one of the  $\pi$ -stacked fluoroaryl pairs is shown in Fig. 3 and full details of the other interactions are given in the Supporting Information<sup>†</sup>.



Fig. 2 View of the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions that create the linear zig-zag supramolecular structure in 1. Distances between ring centroids: X(1AD)-X(1D) = 3.713(4) Å, X (1K)-X(1L) = 4.456(4) Å.

424



Fig. 3 View of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions in the C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> rings of 1. Close C–F interactions are F(35)–C(62) 3.190(3) Å and F(35)–C(63) 3.298(3) Å.

The related gallium complex 2 is essentially mononuclear, possibly due to the presence of two bulky C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> groups per metal vs. one in the case of 1. Thus, the presence of  $\sigma$ -bonded C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub> and Me groups in 2 results in a nearly planar three-coordinate environment at gallium. However, in the solid state, there are also two intermolecular aryl-F $\rightarrow$ Ga interactions from the *m*-F atoms on each  $C_6F_5$  group (F(25) and F(15)) (Fig. 4).<sup>‡</sup> Thus, the localized geometry around Ga is that of a pseudo trigonal bipyramid and the overall supramolecular architecture comprises an interesting linear linked chain-like structure (Fig. 5). The Ga…F contacts of 2.715(3) Å (Ga(1)–F(15)) and 2.727(3) Å (Ga(1)–F(25)) are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.45 Å),<sup>15</sup> but both are considerably longer than the sum of the covalent radii (1.91 Å).<sup>15</sup> These distances may be compared with other short Ga…F interactions; for example the intramolecular contacts in [2,4,6-(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub> Ga fall within the range 2.665–2.844 Å.16

The use of novel supramolecular structures such as those found in 1 and 2 to engineer the preparation of nanostructured materials is currently under investigation.



Fig. 4 View of the molecular structure of  $GaMe(C_6F_5)_2$  (2) showing the localized geometry about Ga and the atom numbering scheme. Ga(1)–C(1) 1.922(2) Å, Ga(1)–C(21) 1.978(2) Å, Ga(1)–C(11) 1.975(2) Å.



Fig. 5 View of supramolecular framework structure of 2.

We are grateful for support from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grants F135 and F816).

## Notes and references

‡ CCDC reference numbers 196548 and 196549. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b2/b210024j/

- 1 L. F. Lindoy and I. M. Atkinson, *Self-Assembly in Supramolecular Systems*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2000.
- 2 I. Haiduc and F. T. Edelman, Supramolecular Organometallic Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, 1999.
- 3 See for example, F. P. Gabbaï, A. Schier and J. Riede, Angew Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 622; J. L. Atwood, J. E. D Davies, D. D. MacNicol, F. Vögtle and K. S. Suslick, Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry, Vol. 9, Pergamon, Oxford, 1996.
- 4 P. Biagini, G. Lugli, L. Abis and P. Andressi, U.S. Patent 5,602,269, Enichem, 1997.
- 5 G. S. Hair, A. H. Cowley, R. A. Jones, B. G. McBurnett and A. Voigt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, **121**, 4922.
- 6 J. Klosin, G. R. Roof, E. Y.-X. Chen and K. A. Abboud, *Organome-tallics*, 2000, **19**, 4684.
- 7 J. S. Kun, L. M. Wojcinski, S. Liu, J. C. Sworen and A. Sen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 5668.
- 8 T. Belgardt, J. Storre, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and H.-G. Schmidt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 3821.
- 9 See Supplementary Information<sup>†</sup>.
- 10 S. Lorenzo, G. R. Lewis and I. Dance, New J. Chem., 2000, 24, 295.
- 11 N. Adams, A. R. Cowley, S. R. Dubberley, A. J. Sealey, M. E. G. Skinner and P. Mountford, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2738.
- 12 P. A. Deck, M. J. Lane and J. L. Montgomery, *Organometallics*, 2000, 19, 1013.
- 13 M. P. Thornberry, C. Slebodnick and P. A. Deck, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 5352.
- 14 M. D. Blanchard, R. P. Hughes, T. E. Concolino and A. R. Rheingold, *Chem. Mat.*, 2000, **12**, 1604.
- 15 J. E. Huheey, E. A. Keiter and R. L. Keiter, *Inorganic Chemistry Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, 4th ed., Harper Collins, New York, 1993, p. 292.
- 16 R. D. Schluter, H. S. Isom, A. H. Cowley, D. A. Atwood, R. A. Jones, F. Olbrich, S. Corbelin and R. J. Lagow, *Organometallics*, 1994, 13, 4058.